ECONOMIC MPACT NALYSIS

COMMANDER'S WELCOME



Tyndall Air Force Base (TAFB) is pleased to present our Economic Impact Analysis (EIA) for fiscal year 2017. This EIA provides base visitors and state and local leaders with an overview of Tyndall's mission and its financial impact on the local area.

TAFB is part of the United States Air Force Air Combat Command. The 325th Fighter Wing's primary mission is to train and project unrivaled combat air power for F-22 Raptor pilots and maintenance personnel to support the combat Air Forces. Accomplishing our mission requires a total team effort consisting of active duty, reserve, guard, civilians, their families, and the community working together.

We could not succeed in this important mission without the contributions and support of our local communities. I extend my gratitude to every team member, their families, and the Bay County area for their world-class service and support to TAFB. We are proud to be a part of a community that faithfully and consistently supports our military.

Over the past year, TAFB has worked diligently in the face of budget constraints to maintain operational and training air superiority with 55 F-22 and 17 T-38 aircraft. Over the next few years, we anticipate an increase in manpower and assets as our mission of projecting air dominance expands to include the MQ-9 Reaper. Like you, I am excited to see this investment at Tyndall by our Air Force and look forward to making it a reality over the coming years.

MICHAEL F. HERNANDEZ, Colonel, USAF Commander, 325th Fighter Wing

MESSAGE FROM THE COMPTROLLER

The 325th Comptroller Squadron prepares the Economic Impact Analysis (EIA) for Tyndall Air Force Base (TAFB) to provide unclassified information about the economic impact of TAFB on the surrounding area. This document is made available to senior military officials, federal, state, and local officials, as well as to local business leaders and visitors to the base.

The EIA addresses the number of personnel assigned to or employed by the base; annual payroll of all assigned and employed personnel; expenditures for construction, services, and procurement of materials, equipment, and supplies; and an estimated number and dollar value of indirect jobs created by the presence of TAFB. It is designed to provide the reader with an understanding of the vital economic and personal relationship between TAFB and the surrounding communities.

ANTHONY R. GEORGE, Major, USAF

Comptroller



325th Comptroller Squadron 501 Airey Ave, Suite 124 Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida 32403-5326 Telephone 850-283-3265

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tyndall Air Force Base (TAFB) encompasses 29,000 acres in southeastern Bay County. Within the base, facilities amount to a total plant replacement value of \$3.4B. The communities within a 50-mile radius of Tyndall comprise the local economic impact area. TAFB has 55 F-22 Raptors, 17 T-38s, 18 QF-16s, 50 BQM-167As, and 2 E-9A aircraft assigned. For coastal security and aerial target recovery, TAFB also possesses 14 watercraft. With a serving population of 3,393 Active military personnel, TAFB also serves 9,383 retirees from all service branches: 5,495 Air Force, 1,835 Army, 1,693 Navy, 210 Marine Corps, 150 Coast Guard. Of note during Fiscal Year 2017, \$2,111,894 was paid out in tuition assistance to local area colleges/vocational schools and \$376,908 in government impact aid to local area schools.

Total FY17 Economic Impact \$ 596,000,110

The total economic impact is computed using standard factors developed by the U.S. Department of Commerce that consider the effect of payrolls, expenditures for contracts, materials, equipment, services, construction, and other procurement actions on the economy of the region. The following report provides a further breakdown of the total economic impact.

25TH FIGT

TER WIND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Commander's Welcome | 2 |
|--|----|
| Message from the Comptroller | 3 |
| Executive Summary | 4 |
| Table of Contents | 5 |
| Part I – BACKGROUND | |
| > History of Tyndall AFB | 6 |
| > Organizations | 8 |
| Part II – ECONOMIC IMPACT | |
| ➤ Table 1 – Force Structure | 9 |
| ➤ Table 2 – Capital Assets | 10 |
| ➤ Table 3 — Training Courses | 11 |
| ➤ Table 4 — Personnel by Classification | 12 |
| ➤ Table 5 – Annual Payrolls by Classification | 13 |
| ➤ Table 6 – Expenditures for Construction, Services, and Procurement of Materials, Equipment, and Supplies | 14 |
| ➤ Table 7 – Estimate of Number and Dollar Value of Indirect Jobs Created | 15 |
| ➤ Table 8 – Total Annual Economic Impact Estimate | 16 |

PART I BACKGROUND

History of Tyndall AFB

In December 1940, a site board determined that Flexible Gunnery School No. 9 would be located 12 miles southeast of Panama City, Florida on East Peninsula. On May 6, 1941, Army and local dignitaries held an official ground breaking for the school. Panama City's mayor, Harry Fannin, dug the first spade full of sand, and Colonel Warren Maxwell, Tyndall's first commander, wielded the first ax on the stubborn palmetto plants so common on the East Peninsula. The site was covered with pine and palmetto trees, scrub brush, and swamps. Bulldozers worked around the clock to clear the brush and fill in swamps.

Although construction was well underway, the base lacked a name. Congressman Bob Sikes suggested naming the school in memory of Lieutenant Francis B. Tyndall. A native of Sewall Point, Florida, Lieutenant Tyndall was a fighter pilot during World War I and was credited with shooting down four German planes well behind enemy lines in 1918. While inspecting Army fields near Mooresville, North Carolina on July 15, 1930, Tyndall's plane crashed, killing him instantly. On June 13, 1941, the War Department officially named the new installation Tyndall Field.

On December 7, 1941, the first of 2,000 troops arrived at Tyndall Field. Although construction was incomplete, instructors and students began preparing for the first class. The first class of 40 gunnery students began on February 23, 1942.

Of the thousands of students passing through the Tyndall gates, the most famous was actor Clark Gable, a student here during 1943.

When World War II ended, Tyndall went through the demobilization process, as did most Army Air units. Fortunes changed as the base fell under the control of the Tactical Air Command in 1946. This only lasted three months. Then, Tyndall became part of Air University.

One common thread between those early years and today's training at Tyndall is foreign student training. It began at Tyndall in 1943 with French Air Force gunnery students being the first and Chinese students following that year. Today, foreign students attend weapons controller training with the 337 ACS at Tyndall.

In September of 1950, Tyndall became an Air Training Command (ATC) base. Several schools were assigned here, including Weapons Controllers, USAF Air Police, and USAF Instrument Instructor Pilot. Then on January 4, 1951, ATC began aircrew (interceptor) training at Tyndall using F-86, F-89, and F-94 aircraft. This relationship lasted until July 1, 1957, when Tyndall became part of the Air Defense Command, an association that would continue for more than 22 years.

Tyndall began hosting William Tell in 1958, just one year after becoming an Air Defense Command unit. William Tell is the nickname for the USAF Air-to-Air Weapons Meet held bi-annually. As USAF transitioned from air superiority to air dominance, the William Tell competition became obsolete. The final competition was held in 2004.

Tyndall's second association with Tactical Air Command began on October 1, 1979. Over the next few years, modernization, upgrade, and reorganization became the key words around Tyndall. A major reorganization occurred on July 1, 1981, with the activation of the 325th Fighter Weapons Wing testing weapons for the USAF Air Defense Weapons Center (USAFADWC). The wing began its mission at Tyndall with the F-101, F-106, and T-33 aircraft, while at the same time phasing out the F-101 and F-106 and preparing for the arrival of Tyndall's first F-15 aircraft in 1983.

Over the years, Tyndall gained additional missions as other units were stationed on the base. The Air Force Engineering and Services Center was formed at Tyndall as a part of a major reorganization. In 1991, it was renamed the Air Force Civil Engineering Agency. The 23d Air Division, renamed the Southeast Air Defense Sector, also relocated to Tyndall. It had the responsibility for the air defense of the southeastern United States.

As the base entered its fiftieth year, Tyndall underwent reorganization in response to the DoD effort to streamline defense management. Headquarters, First Air Force moved from Langley Air Force Base, Virginia, to Tyndall. The USAFADWC inactivated in

1991, and the 325th Fighter Wing became the installation host.

Transition continued for the base as it transferred from being an Air Combat Command installation to an Air Education and Training Command installation on July 1, 1993. This move emphasized Tyndall's commitment to training. The 325th Fighter Wing remained the sole active duty F-15 air superiority training wing until October 2010. As Tyndall's newest mission ramped up, its "bread and butter" mission, training F-15 pilots, began a gradual phase out. The 1st Fighter Squadron (FS) shuttered in 2005, and the 2 FS and 95 FS both inactivated in 2010.

Tyndall AFB was selected as the center for training the Air Force's newest F-22 Raptor and received its first aircraft in 2004. The 43d Fighter Squadron provides training for pipeline students receiving initial F-22 training and pilots transitioning from other airframes. In July 2010, USAF announced that Tyndall would receive an operational F-22 squadron. On 1 Oct 2012, the 325 FW reflagged as an ACC operational unit after almost 2 decades in AETC. On 11 Oct 2013, the 95th Fighter Squadron reactivated and in mid-April 2014 received its full complement of 24 Raptors. The 95 FS deployed to support USCENTCOM in October 2014. They deployed again in 2017 and will return in Spring 2018. The 95 FS also conducts RAPID RAPTOR deployments as requested by the president to support the US national security strategy.

The 325th Fighter Wing is proud of its evolving mission to "Train and Project Unrivaled Combat Airpower."

ORGANIZATIONS

325th Fighter Wing Staff Agencies

- Chaplain
- Command Post
- Community Support Coordinator
- Comptroller Squadron
- Drug Demand Reduction
- > Information Assurance
- > Inspector General
- > Military Equal Opportunity/Equal Employment Opportunity
- > Plans and Programs
- > Protocol
- Public Affairs
- > Safety
- Sexual Assault Response Coordinator
- > Staff Judge Advocate

325th Operations Group

- 2nd Fighter Training Squadron
- > 325th Operations Support Squadron
- > 325th Training Support Squadron
- 43d Fighter Squadron
- > 95th Fighter Squadron

325th Maintenance Group

- > 325th Aircraft Maintenance Squadron
- > 325th Maintenance Squadron

325th Medical Group

- > 325th Aeromedical Dental Squadron
- 325th Medical Operations Squadron
- > 325th Medical Support Squadron

325th Mission Support Group

- > 325th Civil Engineer Squadron
- > 325th Communications Squadron
- > 325th Contracting Squadron
- > 325th Force Support Squadron
- 325th Logistics Readiness Squadron
- 325th Security Forces Squadron

Tenants

- > 1st Air Force (AFNORTH)
- > 16th Electronic Warfare Squadron/Detachment 1
- > 337th Air Control Squadron
- 372d Training Squadron/Detachment 4
- > 44th Fighter Group
- > 53d Weapons Evaluation Group
- > 601st Air Operations Center
- > 823d Red Horse Squadron/Detachment 1
- > Air Force Civil Engineer Center East
- > Air Force Office of Special Investigation
- Airey NCO Academy
- > Department of Homeland Security

ER WIN

PART II ECONOMIC IMPACT

Table 1 FORCE STRUCTURE

| TYPE AIRCRAFT | AIRO | CRAFT ASSIG | NED |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| F-22 | | 55 | |
| E-9A | | 2 | |
| T-38 | ¥ | 17 | |
| TOTAL | | 74 | |
| | | | |
| Aerial Targets | | AMOUNT | |
| BQM-167A | | 50 | |
| QF-16 | | 18 | |
| TOTAL | | 68 | |
| TYPE AIRCRAFT SIMU | LATOR SIMUI | LATORS ASSIG | GNED |
| F-22 | | 8 | |
| F-15 | 7 7 1 | 4 | |
| TOTAL | | 12 | |
| I P | | 91 | |
| RUNWAYS TYPE | WIDTH (feet) | LENGT | H (feet) |
| | WIDTH (feet) 200 | LENGT | H (feet) 10,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE | | LENGT | The state of the s |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary | 200 | LENGT | 10,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary | 200 150 | LENGT | 10,000 9,170 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary | 200 150 150 | LENGT | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone | 200 150 150 | | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT | 200 150 150 | | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT Jet Ski | 200 150 150 | NUMBER ASS | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT Jet Ski 17 Ft Patrol Boat | 200 150 150 | NUMBER ASS | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT Jet Ski 17 Ft Patrol Boat 21 Ft Patrol Boat | 200 150 150 | NUMBER ASS | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT Jet Ski 17 Ft Patrol Boat 21 Ft Patrol Boat 24 Ft Patrol Boat | 200 150 150 | NUMBER ASS | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT Jet Ski 17 Ft Patrol Boat 21 Ft Patrol Boat 24 Ft Patrol Boat 25 Ft - Outboard Boat | 200 150 150 | NUMBER ASS 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |
| RUNWAYS TYPE Primary Secondary Drone WATERCRAFT Jet Ski 17 Ft Patrol Boat 21 Ft Patrol Boat 24 Ft Patrol Boat 25 Ft - Outboard Boat 30 Ft Patrol Boat | 200 150 150 | NUMBER ASS 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 | 10,000 9,170 7,000 |

Table 2 CAPITAL ASSETS

| BUILDING/FACILITIES | # OF BUILDIN | |
|---|---------------|------------------------|
| Vehicle Fueling | | 4 3,178 |
| Communications/Navigation | | 24 71,548 |
| Aviation Operations | | 14 117,500 |
| General Operational Support | | 67 251,030 |
| Small Craft Storage | | 2 4,560 |
| Training | | 43 294,598 |
| Vehicle Maintenance | | 13 83,162 |
| Aircraft Maintenance | | 58 878,249 |
| Facility Maintenance | | 24 112,872 |
| Research Development, Test & Evaluation | | 162,217 |
| Supply/Storage | 1 | 19 440,240 |
| Medical Support | | 5 33,306 |
| Medical Treatment | | 2 123,140 |
| Administrative | | 31 546,978 |
| Unaccompanied Personnel Housing | | 38 446,359 |
| Dining | | 3 25,309 |
| Personnel Support | | 30 366,925 |
| Recreation | 7 | 71 379,835 |
| Accompanied Temporary Lodging | | 32 9,340 |
| Privatized Housing | 6 | 1,312,458 |
| Base Support Structures | | 88 21,874 |
| TOTAL | 1,3 | |
| | | valenty by |
| LAND | TYPE | ACRES |
| Base Proper | Public Domain | 2,100 |
| Base Proper | Fee Condemned | 26,728 |
| Base Proper | Easement | 57 |
| Base Proper | Fee Purchased | 5 |
| Carrabelle | Fee Purchased | 33 |
| St George Island | Fee Purchased | 1 |
| Apalachicola | Lease | 7 |
| Sumatra | Permitted | 1 |
| TOTAL ACREAGE | | 28,932 |
| | | |
| NON-BUILDING/LINEAR ASSETS | COUNT | AMOUNT |
| Parking Aprons/Pavement Shoulders | 22 | 642,829 sq yd |
| Fuel Storage | 20 | 2,781,886 sq yd |
| Antennas | | 66 |
| Electrical Distribution Lines | | 609,832 ft |
| Water Distribution Lines | | 414,860 ft |
| Wastewater Distribution Lines | | 534,324 ft |
| Storm Drainage Distribution Lines | | |
| | | 257,475 ft |
| Paved Roads | | 257,475 ft 62 miles |
| | | |

Table 3 TYNDALL AFB TRAINING COURSES

| | TRAINING COURSE | GRADUATES |
|---|-----------------|--|
| | F-22A IIQC | mag oviesely (25) |
| | B-Course | 23 |
| | TX-1 | (DSG) |
| | TX-2/3 | 22 |
| | ALS | 273 |
| | NCOA | 1,056 |
| | 337 ACS | INTERNATION STATE AND STAT |
| d | Intelligence | 34 |
| 1 | TOTAL | 1,519 |
| | | |



Table 4 PERSONNEL BY CLASSIFICATION

| 1. APPROPRIATED FUND MILITARY | TOTAL |
|--|--------|
| Active Duty | 3,393 |
| Air Nat'l Guard (ANG)/Reserve Permanent | 137 |
| Party | |
| Traditional Guard/Reserve (DSG) | 88 |
| International Active Duty | 26 |
| TOTAL | 3,644 |
| 2. ACTIVE DUTY MILITARY DEPENDENTS | 5,058 |
| | |
| 3. APPROPRIATED FUND CIVILIANS | |
| General Schedule | 1,240 |
| Federal Wage Grade | 3 |
| DECA (Commissary) | 60 |
| Postal | 1 |
| TOTAL | 1,304 |
| 4. NON-APPROPRIATED FUND (NAF), | |
| CONTRACT CIVILIANS AND PRIVATE BUSINESS | |
| Civilian BX | 107 |
| Civilian NAF | 278 |
| Contract Civilians | 320 |
| Branch Banks/Credit Union | 4 |
| TOTAL | 709 |
| TOTAL | 707 |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL INCUDING DEPENDENTS | 10,715 |
| TO TAL PERSONNEL INCODING DEPENDENTS | 10,/13 |
| 5. MILITARY RETIREES | |
| Air Force | 5,495 |
| AND LONG TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF T | 1,835 |
| Army | |
| Navy | 1,693 |
| Marine Corps | 210 |
| Coast Guard | 150 |
| TOTAL | 9,383 |
| 6. MILITARY RETIREE DEPENDENTS | 6,500 |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL INCLUDING DEPENDENTS, RETIREES, AND RETIREE DEPENDENTS | 26,598 |

Table 5 ANNUAL PAYROLLS BY CLASSIFICATION

| 1. APPROPRIATED FUND MILITARY | TOTAL |
|--|-------------------------|
| Active Duty | \$ 253,007,858 |
| ANG/Reserve Permanent Party | \$ 19,992,614 |
| Traditional Guard/Reserve (DSG) | \$ 188,208 |
| International Active Duty | \$2,598,668 |
| TOTAL | \$ 275,787,349 |
| TOTAL | Ψ = 1 ο 1 1 ο 1 1 ο 1 1 |
| 2. APPROPRIATED FUND CIVILIANS |) Reply of MRD. |
| General Schedule | \$80,161,389 |
| Federal Wage Board | \$ 218,975 |
| Postal | \$ 134,042 |
| DECA (Commissary) | \$ 3,832,694 |
| TOTAL | \$ 84,347,100 |
| TOTAL COMPLETE OF THE COMPLETE | \$ 01,517,100 |
| 3. NON-APPROPRIATED FUND, CONTRACT CIVILIANS, AND PRIVA | ATE BUSINESS |
| Civilian NAF | \$ 6,552,232 |
| Civilian BX | \$ 2,383,870 |
| Contract Civilians (not elsewhere included) | \$1,610,000 |
| Branch Banks/Credit Union | \$ 201,682 |
| TOTAL | \$ 10,747,784 |
| TOTAL | ψ 10,7 17,7 O I |
| TOTAL ANNUAL PAYROLL | \$ 370,882,233 |
| | |
| 107 | |
| THE TO WILLIAM | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Table 6 EXPENDITURES FOR CONSTRUCTION, SERVICES, AND PROCUREMENT OF MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLIES*

| 1. CONSTRUCTION | ACTUAL ANNUAL EXPENDITURES |
|---|--|
| Military Construction Program | \$ 0 |
| Non-Appropriated Funds | \$ 735,268 |
| Operation and Maintenance (O&M) | \$ 24,330,587 |
| TOTAL | \$ 25,065,855 |
| 2. SERVICES | The state of the s |
| O&M Services Contracts | \$ 30,341,938 |
| NAF service Contracts | \$ 55,304 |
| Utilities | \$ 7,723,983 |
| TOTAL | \$ 38,121,225 |
| 3. MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLY | PROCUREMENT |
| Commodity Purchases | \$1,617,440 |
| Commissary | \$ 1,026,696 |
| Base Exchange (BX) | \$ 61,296,005 |
| Health (TriCare, Government cost only) | \$ 16,293,926 |
| Education (Impact aid & tuition assistance) | \$ 2,488,802 |
| NAF | \$468,868 |
| TDY | \$ 3,334,900 |
| TOTAL | \$ 86,526,638 |
| TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENDITURES | \$ 149,713,717 |

^{*} Includes only contracts and goods purchased in the local economic area or contracts requiring the use of locally supplied goods and services.

Table 7 ESTIMATE OF NUMBER AND DOLLAR VALUE OF INDIRECT JOBS CREATED

| TYPE OF PERSONNEL | # OF BASE IOBS | MULTIPLIER | # OF INDIRECT JOBS |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Active Duty Military & | 3,556 | 0.29 | 1,031 |
| ANG/Reserve Permanent Party | | | |
| Traditional Guard/Reserve | 88 | 0.13 | 11 |
| (DSG) | | | |
| APF Civilians | 1,304 | 0.43 | 561 |
| Other Civilians | 709 | 0.43 | 305 |
| TOTAL | 5,657 | THE REAL PROPERTY. | 1,908 |

Estimated Number of Indirect Jobs Created: 1,908

Average Annual Pay for the Local Community: \$39,520

Estimated Annual Dollar Value of Jobs Created: \$75,404,160

Data Sources:

Multipliers: LMI Economic Impact Database, Installations and Indirect/Induced Job Multipliers, Feb 95

Average Annual Pay: Current tables for Average Annual Pay Levels in Metropolitan Areas and Average Annual Pay by city/state; located here: https://www.bls.gov/news.release/cewqtr.t01.htm

Table 8 TOTAL ANNUAL ECONOMIC IMPACT ESTIMATE

| ANNUAL PAYROLL | \$ 370,882,233 |
|---|--|
| Military | \$ 275,787,349 |
| Federal Civilians | \$84,347,100 |
| Other Civilians | \$ 10,747,784 |
| | |
| ANNUAL EXPENDITURES | \$149,713,717 |
| | No. of the last transition of the last transi |
| ESTIMATED ANNUAL DOLLAR VALUE OF JOBS CREATED | \$75,404,160 |
| | |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$ 596,000,110 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Special thanks go to all those involved in building the FY17 Economic Impact Analysis, including those who provided data, formatted the product, and distributed the EIA throughout the surrounding communities.